REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE RUSSELL COUNTY CLERK

For The Year Ended December 31, 2009



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

209 ST. CLAIR STREET FRANKFORT, KY 40601-1817 TELEPHONE (502) 564-5841 FACSIMILE (502) 564-2912

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE RUSSELL COUNTY CLERK

For The Year Ended December 31, 2009

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the Russell County Clerk's audit for the year ended December 31, 2009. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement presents fairly in all material respects, the revenues, expenditures, and excess fees in conformity with the regulatory basis of accounting.

Financial Condition:

Excess fees decreased by \$5,344 from the prior year, resulting in excess fees of \$182,540 as of December 31, 2009. Revenues decreased by \$54,730 from the prior year and expenditures decreased by \$49,386.

Report Comment:

• The County Clerk's Office Lacks An Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Deposits:

The County Clerk's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities.

<u>CONTENTS</u> PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS	3
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	6
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	11
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION	15



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Mickey Garner, Russell County Judge/Executive The Honorable Lisha Popplewell, Russell County Clerk Members of the Russell County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the accompanying statement of revenues, expenditures, and excess fees regulatory basis of the County Clerk of Russell County, Kentucky, for the year ended December 31, 2009. This financial statement is the responsibility of the County Clerk. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for County Fee Officials issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the County Clerk's office prepares the financial statement on a regulatory basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the revenues, expenditures, and excess fees of the County Clerk for the year ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1.

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated July 20, 2010 on our consideration of the Russell County Clerk's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing</u> Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.



The Honorable Mickey Garner, Russell County Judge/Executive The Honorable Lisha Popplewell, Russell County Clerk Members of the Russell County Fiscal Court

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying comment and recommendation, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

• The County Clerk's Office Lacks An Adequate Segregation Of Duties

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the County Clerk and Fiscal Court of Russell County, Kentucky, and the Commonwealth of Kentucky and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

July 20, 2010

RUSSELL COUNTY LISHA POPPLEWELL, COUNTY CLERK STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS

For The Year Ended December 31, 2009

Re	venu	es
----	------	----

State Fees For Services		\$ 5,938
House Bill 537 - Revenue Supplement		57,376
Fiscal Court		5,306
Licenses and Taxes:		
Motor Vehicle-		
Licenses and Transfers	\$ 677,295	
Usage Tax	1,189,146	
Tangible Personal Property Tax	1,267,831	
Other-		
Marriage Licenses	5,893	
Occupational Licenses	95	
Deed Transfer Tax	32,790	
Delinquent Tax	 201,079	3,374,129
Fees Collected for Services:		
Recordings-		
Deeds, Easements, and Contracts	20,104	
Real Estate Mortgages	43,443	
Chattel Mortgages and Financing Statements	44,185	
Powers of Attorney	2,625	
Filing Petitions	1,670	
All Other Recordings	37,231	
Charges for Other Services-	ŕ	
Title Applications, Affidavits, Notary Fees	8,581	
Copywork	 2,721	160,560
Other:		
Miscellaneous		1,856
Interest Earned		 892
Total Revenues		3,606,057

RUSSELL COUNTY

LISHA POPPLEWELL, COUNTY CLERK

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS For The Year Ended December 31, 2009 (Continued)

Expenditures

Payments to State:			
Motor Vehicle-			
Licenses and Transfers	\$ 466,623		
Usage Tax	1,152,860		
Tangible Personal Property Tax	438,318		
Licenses, Taxes, and Fees-			
Delinquent Tax	38,168		
Legal Process Tax	18,494		
Affordable Housing Trust	24,060	. \$	2,138,523
Payments to Fiscal Court:			
Tangible Personal Property Tax	82,175		
Delinquent Tax	12,165		
Deed Transfer Tax	31,151	•	125,491
Payments to Other Districts:			
Tangible Personal Property Tax	695,853		
Delinquent Tax	100,729		796,582
Payments to Sheriff			1,480
Payments to County Attorney			29,520
Operating Expenditures and Capital Outlay:			
Personnel Services-			
Deputies' Salaries	164,745		
Part Time Deputies	6,464		
Employee Benefits-			
Employer's Paid Health Insurance	29,969		
Contracted Services-			
Bookkeeping	2,400		
Advertising	468		
Printing and Binding	2,749		
Materials and Supplies-	,,		
Office Supplies	26,973		
11	,		

RUSSELL COUNTY

LISHA POPPLEWELL, COUNTY CLERK

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS For The Year Ended December 31, 2009

(Continued)

Operating Expenditures and Capital Outlay: (Continued)

Other Charges-			
Conventions and Travel	\$ 2,079		
Dues	660		
Postage	4,141		
Refunds	4,517		
Miscellaneous	262	\$ 245,427	
Debt Service:			
Lease Purchases		 5,278	
Total Expenditures			\$ 3,342,301
Net Revenues			263,756
Less: Statutory Maximum			74,088
Excess Fees for 2009			189,668
Less: Expense Allowance		3,600	
Training Incentive Benefit		 3,528	 7,128
Excess Fees Due County for 2009			182,540
Payments to Fiscal Court -			
October 19, 2009		75,000	
February 08, 2010		105,000	
February 26, 2010		2,529	
March 03, 2010		 11	 182,540
Balance Due Fiscal Court at Completion of Audit			\$ 0

RUSSELL COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

December 31, 2009

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

A fee official uses a fund to report on the results of operations. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

A fee official uses a fund for fees to account for activities for which the government desires periodic determination of the excess of revenues over expenditures to facilitate management control, accountability, and compliance with laws.

B. Basis of Accounting

KRS 64.820 directs the fiscal court to collect any amount, including excess fees, due from the County Clerk as determined by the audit. KRS 64.152 requires the County Clerk to settle excess fees with the fiscal court by March 15 each year.

The financial statement has been prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky and is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Under this regulatory basis of accounting, revenues and expenditures are generally recognized when cash is received or disbursed with the exception of accrual of the following items (not all-inclusive), at December 31 that may be included in the excess fees calculation:

- Interest receivable
- Collection on accounts due from others for 2009 services
- Reimbursements for 2009 activities
- Payments due other governmental entities for December tax and fee collections and payroll
- Payments due vendors for goods or services provided in 2009

The measurement focus of a fee official is upon excess fees. Remittance of excess fees is due to the County Treasurer in the subsequent year.

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the County Clerk's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

RUSSELL COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT December 31, 2009 (Continued)

Note 2. Employee Retirement System

The county official and employees have elected to participate in the County Employees Retirement System (CERS), pursuant to KRS 78.530 administered by the Board of Trustees of the Kentucky Retirement Systems. This is a cost sharing, multiple employer defined benefit pension plan, which covers all eligible full-time employees and provides for retirement, disability and death benefits to plan members. Benefit contributions and provisions are established by statute.

Nonhazardous covered employees are required to contribute 5 percent of their salary to the plan. Nonhazardous covered employees who begin participation on or after September 1, 2008 are required to contribute 6 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for nonhazardous employees was 13.50 percent for the first six months and 16.16 percent for the last six months

Benefits fully vest on reaching five years of service for nonhazardous employees. Aspects of benefits for nonhazardous employees include retirement after 27 years of service or age 65. Nonhazardous employees who begin participation on or after September 1, 2008 must meet the rule of 87 (members age plus years of service credit must equal 87, and the member must be a minimum of 57 years of age) or the member is age 65, with a minimum of 60 months service credit.

Historical trend information pertaining to CERS' progress in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due is presented in the Kentucky Retirement Systems' annual financial report.. This report may be obtained by writing the Kentucky Retirement Systems, 1260 Louisville Road, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601-6124, or by telephone at (502) 564-4646.

Note 3. Deposits

The Russell County Clerk maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as required by KRS 66.480(1)(d). According to KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the County Clerk and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution.

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a depository institution failure, the County Clerk's deposits may not be returned. The Russell County Clerk does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk but rather follows the requirements of KRS 41.240(4). As of December 31, 2009, all deposits were covered by FDIC insurance or a properly executed collateral security agreement.

RUSSELL COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT December 31, 2009 (Continued)

Note 4. Lease

The office of the County Clerk was committed to a lease agreement with Better Quality for a Kyocera copier during part of the current year. On April 9, 2009 the County Clerk entered into a replacement value lease agreement with Better Quality Business Systems, Inc. for two copiers. The agreement requires a monthly payment of \$465 for a term of 60 months. The remaining balance of the lease agreement was \$24,645 as of December 31, 2009.

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Mickey Garner, Russell County Judge/Executive The Honorable Lisha Popplewell, Russell County Clerk Members of the Russell County Fiscal Court

> Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the statement of revenues, expenditures, and excess fees - regulatory basis of the Russell County Clerk for the year ended December 31, 2009, and have issued our report thereon dated July 20, 2010. The County Clerk's financial statement is prepared in accordance with a basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Russell County Clerk's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County Clerk's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County Clerk's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However as discussed below, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying comment and recommendation to be a material weakness.

• The County Clerk's Office Lacks An Adequate Segregation Of Duties



Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

<u>Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u> (Continued)

A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying comment and recommendation to be a significant deficiency.

Compliance And Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Russell County Clerk's financial statement for the year ended December 31, 2009, is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

The Russell County Clerk's response to the finding identified in our audit is included in the accompanying comment and recommendation. We did not audit the County Clerk's response and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Russell County Fiscal Court, others within the entity, and the Department for Local Government and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

July 20, 2010



RUSSELL COUNTY LISHA POPPLEWELL, COUNTY CLERK COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

For The Year Ended December 31, 2009

INTERNAL CONTROL - MATERIAL WEAKNESS:

The County Clerk's Office Lacks An Adequate Segregation Of Duties

A lack of adequate segregation of duties exists over receipts and disbursements. The County Clerk and deputies collect cash receipts and each deputy balances their own cash drawer. One of two deputies or the County Clerk posts to the receipts listing; compiles the daily checkout sheet; and prepares and makes deposits. Additionally, two deputies and/or the County Clerk prepare and sign checks. No compensating controls were documented.

A segregation of duties over daily checkout procedures, deposit preparation, and the preparation of checks are essential for providing protection from asset misappropriation and/or inaccurate financial reporting. Additionally, proper segregation of duties protects employees in the course of performing their normal daily responsibilities.

To adequately protect against misappropriation of assets and/or inaccurate financial reporting, the County Clerk should separate the duties involved in receiving cash, recording of cash receipts, preparing bank deposits, and the preparation of checks. If these duties cannot be separated, strong oversight over these areas should be provided. If the County Clerk does implement oversight rather than segregating the duties, this should be documented on all appropriate source documentation.

County Clerk's Response: No response.